IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON PORTLAND DIVISION

KIRK L. WILLIAMS,

3:10-cv-00070-ST

Petitioner,

ORDER

V.

MARK NOOTH,

Respondent.

Nell Brown Federal Public Defender's Office 101 SW Main Street, Suite 1700 Portland, OR 97204

Attorney for Petitioner

Andrew D. Hallman Oregon Department of Justice CCR Section 1162 Court Street, NE Salem, OR 97301

Attorney for Respondent

HERNANDEZ, District Judge:

Magistrate Judge Janice M. Stewart issued a Findings and Recommendation (doc. #74) on March 21, 2013. The Magistrate Judge recommends the Amended Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (doc. #20) be denied, and a judgment should be entered dismissing this case with prejudice. The Magistrate Judge also recommends that I issue a Certificate of Appealability as to all claims petitioner argues on the basis that he has made a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2).

This matter is now before me pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and rule 72(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. When any party objects to any portion of the Magistrate Judge's F&R, as here, the district court must make a <u>de novo</u> determination of that portion of the Magistrate Judge's report. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); <u>Dawson v. Marshall</u>, 561 F.3d 930, 932 (9th Cir. 2009); <u>United States v. Reyna-Tapia</u>, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003) (en banc).

CONCLUSION

The court ADOPTS the Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendation (doc. #74). Accordingly, the Amended Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (doc. #20) is denied, and this case is dismissed with prejudice. I, however, issue a Certificate of Appealability as to all claims petitioner argues on the basis that he has made a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this _____(5 day of POIL_____,

. 2013.

MARCO A. HERNANDEZ

United States District Judge